	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	10/784,374	TAKACSI-NAGY ET AL.		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JUE WANG	2193		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appeall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY is NOTA GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common IGHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>	
<ol> <li>This communication is responsive to <u>2/4/2010</u>.</li> </ol>				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 3, 18, 20, 43-61 (renumbered	<u>d as 1-23)</u> .			
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>All b) □ Some* c) □ None of the:</li> </ol>	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).		
Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.			
Certified copies of the priority documents have		on No		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority do			ion from the	
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Certified copies not received:				
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the req	juirements	
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ol>			OTICE OF	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.			
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review	w ( PTO-948) attached		
1) Thereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date				
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date				
Identifying Indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on t he header according to 37 CF	he drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).	back) of	
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			lote the	
M				
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of In	formal Patent Application		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☑ Interview S Paper No.	ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date <i>2010</i> 0329 .		
<ol> <li>☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>	7. 🛭 Examiner's	7.   Examiner's Amendment/Comment		
<ol> <li>Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ol>	_	Statement of Reasons for Allo	wance	
	9.  Other	_		
		/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193		
	Supervisory Pa	tent Examiner, Art Unit 219	,	
	1			

Art Unit: 2193

Inventorship

1. In view of the papers filed 2/4/2010, it has been found that this nonprovisional

application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the

inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37

CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by the addition of inventor

Michael Blevins.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for

issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship

as corrected.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

3. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Adam Hipp (Reg No. 60,334) on March 18, 2010.

The claims have been amended as follows:

a. Claim 1: replace claim 1 with the following:

Art Unit: 2193

 A method for extending an existing object oriented programming language, comprising the steps of:

extending an existing object oriented programming language with a workflow language including a plurality of workflow constructs provided as markup language commands;

selecting a program source file including a source code and classes written in the existing object oriented programming language;

extending the source code with a workflow definition including the plurality of workflow constructs of the workflow language, wherein the workflow constructs are specified in the form of annotations to the source code and the classes, the plurality of workflow constructs including an action construct representing an activity that allows a first software component to call an operation on a second software component; and

using a workflow program according to the workflow definition, including

processing, using a computer including a processing device operating thereon, the action construct to allow the first software component to call the operation on the second software component, and

passing, according to the workflow definition in the form of annotations to the source code, information selected from one or more files, documents and/or tasks between system resources, according to a set of procedural rules to generate activities at the computer as defined by the workflow definition.

- Claim 2 is canceled.
- Claim 18, replace claim 18 with the following:
- 18. A computer system capable of extending an existing programming language, comprising:
  - a computer including a processing device operating thereon;

Art Unit: 2193

a program source file stored on a computer readable storage medium, wherein the program source file includes a source code and classes written in an existing object oriented programming language, wherein the existing object oriented programming language is extended with a workflow language including a plurality of workflow constructs provided as markup language commands;

a workflow definition including the plurality of workflow constructs of the workflow language, wherein the workflow constructs are specified in the form of annotations to the source code and the classes, the plurality of workflow constructs including an action construct representing an activity that allows a first software component to call an operation on a second software component; and

object code executed by the processor, the object code configured to

use a workflow program according to the workflow definition, including processing, using a computer including a processing device operating thereon, the action construct to allow the first software component to call the operation on the second software component, and

pass information selected from one or more files, documents and/or tasks between system resources, according to a set of procedural rules to generate activities at the computer as defined by the workflow definition specified in the form of annotations to the source code and classes.

## d. Claim 19 is canceled.

- Claim 61, replace claim 61 with the following:
- 61. A computer readable storage medium including instructions stored thereon which when executed cause the computer to perform the steps of:

extending an existing object oriented programming language with a workflow language including a plurality of workflow constructs provided as markup language commands:

Art Unit: 2193

selecting a program source file including a source code and classes written in the existing object oriented programming language;

extending the source code with a workflow definition including the plurality of workflow constructs of the workflow language, wherein the workflow constructs are specified in the form of annotations to the source code and the classes, the plurality of workflow constructs including an action construct representing an activity that allows a first software component to call an operation on a second software component; and

using a workflow program according to the workflow definition, including

processing, using a computer including a processing device operating thereon, the action construct to allow the first software component to call the operation on the second software component, and

passing, according to the workflow definition in the form of annotations to the source code, information selected from one or more files, documents and/or tasks between system resources, according to a set of procedural rules to generate activities at the computer as defined by the workflow definition.

## Reasons for Allowance

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed invention. Specifically, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest extending an object oriented programming language with a workflow language including a plurality of workflow constructs provided as markup language commands, selecting a program source file including a source code and classes written in the existing object oriented programming language; extending the source code with a workflow definition including a plurality of workflow constructs of the workflow language, wherein the workflow constructs are specified in the form of annotations to the source code and the classes.

Art Unit: 2193

6. The prior art of record, Christensen teaches a method of extending an existing object oriented programming language with constructs provided as markup language commands. The prior art of record, Meredith teaches a markup language based workflow language that includes an action construct. The prior art of record, Peltz teaches extending Java source code by definitions specified in the form of annotations to the source code. However, Christensen, Meredith, and Peltz fail to teach the independent claim as recited where the existing object oriented programming is extended with a workflow language including a plurality of workflow constructs provided as markup language commands, and extending the source code with a workflow definition including a plurality of workflow constructs of the workflow language, wherein the workflow constructs are specified in the form of annotations to the source code and the classes.

7. Any comment considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jue S. Wang whose telephone number is (571) 270-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am - 5:00pm (EST). Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on 571-272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193 /Jue S Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2193